

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trädemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/501,874	02/10/2000	Stephen Lange Ranzini	3892-4000	4487	
75	90 07/21/2003				
MORGAN & FINNEGAN L L P			EXAMINER		
345 Park Avenu New York, NY			ELISCA, P	ELISCA, PIERRE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621	3621	
\sqrt{h}		DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/501,874 Applicant(s)

Ranzini Stephen Lange et al

Examiner

		Pierre E. Elisca	3621	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corre	spondence address	s- / /
	for Reply			\sim
THE N - Extens mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication. beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the reriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mails the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.	d after SIX (6) MONTHS of the considered timely. Ing date of this communic S.C. § 133).	
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 8, 2	003		·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa			merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-187 and 189-193	is/ar	e pending in the a	application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>none</u>	is/a	re withdrawn fror	m consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-187 and 189-193		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to	0.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restri	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	e a) \square accepted or b) \square object	ed to by the Exam	niner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	.	b) disapprove	d by the Examiner.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Application	No	·
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burdes the extrapled detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n this National Sta	age
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	•	(0)	
_	The translation of the foreign language provision	·		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachm	-			
1) 🗌 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)	
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)	
3) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/501,874 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

- 1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 05/08/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-187, and 189 are remained, claim 190-193 are added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-15, 19-27, 55-61, 73-81, 100-112, 121, 122, 126, 128, 129, 130, 140-149, 155-159, 162-164, 172-174, 177-179, 180-182, 189 and 190-193 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Bocinsky (U.S. Pat. No. 5,371,797).

As per claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-15, 19, 20, 22, 23, 26, 27, 55, 56, 58, 59, 121, 122, 129, 130, 140-144, 162, 163, 164, 189 and 190-193 Bocinsky discloses a secure electronics funds or other financial transaction system that provides substantially equivalent security to that obtained by the use of secure point of sale terminals which is equivalent to Applicant's claimed invention wherein said: a method for securely transferring electronic funds, comprising;

Application/Control Number: 09/501,874

Art Unit: 3621

a) assigning at least one security attribute to a digital rights management container containing a digital

Page 3

representation of money, wherein the at least one security attribute precludes unauthorized access to

the container containing the digital representation of money, and wherein the at least one sender-

defined security attribute is defined at the time of an electronic fund transfer (see., fig 2, elements 30

and 36, abstract, col 11, lines 3-34, col 12, lines 4-34, please note that Applicant's newly added

limitation is disclosed in the abstract, specifically wherein it is stated that conducting a transaction,

the customer provides the access code, which is unmasked and concatenated with second portion to

recreate the original full encrypted PIN. This, together with the encryption key used for the original

encryption is provided to conventional security and transaction processing apparatus for regional

banking networks to seek authorization for the transaction, please note that the process of providing

the access code, which is unmasked with second portion to recreate the original full encrypted PIN

is readable a security attribute that is defined at the time of the fund transfer);

b) transmitting the container containing a digital representation of money to a recipient (see., abstract,

lines 6-26, col 6, lines 6-67). Please note that the security attribute is interpreted as the customer

security identification such as PIN number that also includes encryption key, password and so, for

monitoring or authorizing data passing from a conventional network or for protecting unauthorized

access.

As per claims 3, 21 and 57, Bocinsky discloses the claimed method, wherein the at least one

security attribute is the requirement that a recipient of the container containing a digital representation

Application/Control Number: 09/501,874

Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

of money enter password, social security number, employee identification number or personal

identification number (see., col 4, lines 36-59)

As per claims 6, 24 and 60, Bocinsky discloses the claimed method, wherein the at least one

security attribute is the requirement that a recipient of the container containing digital representation

of money prove they are the intended recipient through use of a random number generator card or

smart card (see., col 5, lines 23-39).

As per claims 7, 25 and 61, Bocinsky discloses the claimed method, wherein the at least one

security attribute is the requirement that a recipient of the container containing a digital representation

of money prove they are the intended recipient through biometric scan comprised of a retina scan,

fingerprint, voiceprint (see., col 18, lines 48-68).

Claims 73-81, 126, 145-149, 172, 173 and 174 are system's claims that contain the same limitation

as claims 1-18, and claim 73, therefore are rejected by the same rationale.

Claim 100-108, 128, 155-159, 177, 178 and 179 are system's claims that contain the same limitation

as claims 1-18, therefore are rejected by the same rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/501,874 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 109-112, 180, 181 and 182, contains the same limitation as claim 1, therefore are rejected

by the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the

subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

invention was made.

7. Claims 62-72, 113-120, 125, 170, 171 and 183-187 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Bocinsky, Jr. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,371,797).

As per claims 62-72, 113-120, 125, 170, 171, 183, 184, 185, 186 and 187 Bocinsky

substantially discloses the claimed limitations as stated above in claims 1 and 13, but he fails to

specifically disclose the step of confirmating the transfer upon receiving an electronic mail message.

However, Examiner hereby takes Official Notice that electronic mail is notoriously well-known in the

art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the electronic funds transfer of Bocinsky by including an electronic

mail upon receiving the money transfer because electronic mail is an object of the internet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/501,874 Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 16-18, 28-54, 82-99, 123, 124, 127, 131-139, 150-154, 160, 161, 165-169, 175 and

176 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bocinsky, Jr. (U.S. Pat.

No. 5,371,797).

As per claims 16-18, 28-54, 82-99, 123, 124, 127, 131-139, 150-154, 160, 161, 165-169,

175 and 176, Bocinsky substantially discloses the claimed limitations as stated above in claims 1 and

13, but he fails to specifically disclose the step of confirmating the transfer upon receiving an

electronic mail message. However, Examiner hereby takes Official Notice that electronic mail is

notoriously well-known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill

in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electronic funds transfer of Bocinsky by

including an electronic mail upon receiving the money transfer because electronic mail is an object

of the internet.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 05/08/2003 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/501,874 Page 7

Art Unit: 3621

REMARKS

In response to claims 1-187 and 189 Applicant argues that Bocinsky does not teach or 11.

suggest:

a. "wherein the at least one sender-defined security attributes is defined at the time of an electronic

fund transfer". However, the examiner respectfully disagrees because Bocinsky discloses in fig 2,

elements 30 and 36, abstract, col 11, lines 3-34, col 12, lines 4-34, please note that Applicant's newly

added limitation is disclosed in the abstract, specifically wherein it is stated that conducting a

transaction, the customer provides the access code, which is unmasked and concatenated with second

portion to recreate the original full encrypted PIN. This, together with the encryption key used for

the original encryption is provided to conventional security and transaction processing apparatus for

regional banking networks to seek authorization for the transaction, please note that the process of

providing the access code, which is unmasked with second portion to recreate the original full

encrypted PIN is readable a security attribute that is defined at the time of the fund transfer.

CONCLUSION

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre Eddy

Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 6:30AM.

to 5:00PM.

Art Unit: 3621

13. If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

(703) 305-7687

Patent Examiner

July 17, 2003